

# Should the United States Keep the Judicial Branch?

By Zach Tellano  
4/12/13

Should the United States keep the independent judiciary branch or amend the Constitution to modify or even eliminate the judicial branch? The United States needs to keep the branch how it is presently. The judicial branch has specific jobs it fulfills. Actually, removing or modifying it will create problems on several levels. After removing or modifying the branch, the process of experimenting with remedies will be tedious and disastrous.

The judicial branch fulfills certain duties. It possesses the power to declare a law unconstitutional. Undeniably, this branch equalizes the other branches by the separation of powers. Checks and balances prevent one branch from carrying too much power and therefore, reduces corruption and scandal. The judicial branch performs a myriad of tasks including evaluating whether a citizen's trial was performed fairly.

Removing or modifying the judicial branch would result in calamity and would affect the whole country. Without the judicial branch, the separation of powers is destroyed. Because of this, the executive and legislative branches would hold potentially dangerous power. For example, if at least two thirds of the House of Representatives are part of the same political party as the president, that political party would be capable of doing what it wants. The executive and legislative branches would eventually find loopholes in creating laws and therefore, would be able to pass unfair, unconstitutional laws. The president could use the spoils system to give and gain power. Who would them be able to declare laws unconstitutional? Who would judge a fair trial? Major significant changes would occur. Trial and error experiments in finding a solution could possibly ruin America and take away citizens' rights. Changing or removing the judicial branch could possibly give an individual or a group the opportunity to gain enough power similar to a dictatorship or tyranny. No alternative has been proposed so why change a process that has worked for so long?

The United States should keep the judicial branch how it is presently. Removing or changing it and creating a new plan could be disastrous. The branch has responsibilities to carry out, and it prevents one group or individual from gaining too much power. The process of replacing it would not be worth the effort. For all these reasons, the judicial branch needs to remain as it is.